Immediate implant placement with filling the gap or Ridge Preservation? Both good!


Daniele Cardaropoli, Nicoletta De Luca, Lorenzo Tamagnone, Rosalia Leonardi Italy

Therapeutic area

Extraction Socket Management

Study set-up / Goal

Randomized clinical trial comparing the soft tissue horizontal width, mesial and distal papilla levels, midfacial gingival level and Pink Esthetic Score one year after either immediate implant placement with filling the gap or Ridge Preservation and implant placement four months later. This is part 1 of the published results



Difference Baseline – 1 year

Soft tissue horizontal width

0.80±0.75 mm

0.71±0.38 mm

Mesial papilla levels

-0.11±0.43 mm

-0.02±0.31 mm

Distal papilla levels

-0.11±0.6 mm

-0.06±0.17 mm

Midfacial gingival level

-0.13±0.8 mm


-0.02±0.31 mm

Pink Esthetic Score

0.04±2.20

-0.17±0.87

clinical trial





Key Message

This RCT shows: The clinical results of the two procedures in the anterior region were similar and comparable over time. It is possible to choose either immediate implantation with filling the gap or Ridge Preservation with delayed implant placement.









